Public consultation on Augstsprieguma tīkls, Elering and Litgrid proposal for Baltic balancing capacity market pursuant with Article 33(1) and Article 38(1) of the Commission Regulation (EU) 2017/2195 of 23 November 2017 establishing a guideline on electricity balancing

**Introduction**

Transmission System Operators (hereinafter referred to as TSO) in Baltic – Elering AS, AS Augstsprieguma Tīkls and Litgrid AB (hereinafter refer to as Baltic TSOs) plan to establish Baltic LFC block with three LFC areas representing the three TSOs and enable co-operation on the Baltic LFC capacity market. Further information on the Baltic LFC concept is provided in the Baltic LFC concept document ([link](https://elering.ee/sites/default/files/2021-01/Baltic%20Load-Frequency%20Control%20concept%20document.pdf)). To ensure common balancing market rules for Baltic BSPs in Baltic LFC block, Baltic TSOs prepared the proposal for Balancing capacity rules in accordance with Article 33(1) and Article 38(1) of the Commission Regulation (EU) 2017/2195 of 23 November 2017 establishing a guideline on electricity balancing (hereinafter – Proposal on balancing capacity market rules).

Hereby the TSOs are seeking input from stakeholders and market participants on the Proposal on balancing capacity market rules. After the public consultation, the TSOs will assess the comments submitted by the stakeholders and adjust where relevant changes are sensible. Also, the assessment of comments provided by the stakeholders will be published. Please take note that comments received during the public consultation may be kept anonymous, if the party providing comments indicates to remain anonymous.

**Questionnaire for public consultation**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **No** | **Question** | **Answer** |
| 1. | What is your name?  |   |
| 2. | What is your email address? |   |
| 3. | What is your organisation? |   |
| 4. | In which country is your organisation based?  |   |
| 5. | Do you wish that your response to this consultation would be treated confidential, i.e. published without disclosing the name? |  |

**Questions for Baltic LFC reserve prequalification requirement harmonisation**

Please **add your feedback on Baltic LFC reserve prequalification harmonisation document as “Acceptable” or “No opinion” or “Disagree”** to the above enquiry, by placing a cross in the appropriate box as “X”. Also, please provide your comments and arguments for you position.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **No**  | **Question/topic**  | **Acceptable**  | **No opinion**  | **Disagree**  | **Comment**  |
| 1  | High level design provided in Article 3. Is the high-level design of the Baltic balancing capacity market acceptable for market participants?  |    |    |    |    |
| 2  | Requirements for standard balancing capacity products. Are the requirements for the standard balancing capacity products clear and acceptable for market participants? |   |   |   |   |
| 3  | Article 6 Requirements for bid submission, capacity order and Mandatory FRR energy bid submission and process timing. Are the requirements in the article clear and acceptable for the market participants?  |   |   |   |   |
| 4  | Article 8 Procurement optimization function. Are the rules for optimization function clear and acceptable for the market participants?  |   |   |   |   |
| 5  | Article 9 TSO-BSP settlement. In the explanatory note, there are two options considered by the TSO. Is **option 1** clear and acceptable for the market participant?  |   |   |   |   |
| 6  | Article 9 TSO-BSP settlement. In the explanatory note, there are two options considered by the TSO. Is **option 2** clear and acceptable for the market participant? |   |   |   |   |
| 7  | Article 10 TSO-TSO Settlement. Are the requirements for the TSO-TSO settlement clear and acceptable for the market participant?  |   |   |   |   |
| 8  | Article 11 Transfer of obligations. Are the requirements for the transfer of obligations clear and acceptable for the market participant? |   |   |   |   |
| 9  | Article 12 Monitoring of quality. Are the requirements for monitoring of quality clear and acceptable for the market participant? |   |   |   |   |

In case stakeholders have comments on articles that have not been provided above, they can provide the comment with the reference to the chapter in the table below. Add rows if there are more comments.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| No of article | **General comments** |
|  |  |
|  |  |

**Please provide your answers to the questions (preferably in English) until 20.11.2022 by email to:**

* Elering AS – Kristofer Vare Kristofer.Vare@elering.ee
* AS “Augstsprieguma tīkls” – Gunārs Cingels Gunars.Cingels@ast.lv
* LITGRID AB – Benas Makauskas benas.makauskas@litgrid.eu; Donatas Bimba Donatas.Bimba@litgrid.eu

Should you have any questions please contact the respective representatives listed above.