

Credit Opinion: Elering AS

Global Credit Research - 06 Aug 2012

Tallinn, Estonia

Ratings

Category	Moody's Rating
Outlook	Stable
Issuer Rating	A3
Senior Unsecured	A3

Contacts

Analyst	Phone
Joanna Fic/London	44.20.7772.5454
Richard Miratsky/Prague	420.224.222.929
Andrew Blease/London	44.20.7772.5454

Key Indicators

[1]Elering AS

	Dec-2011	Dec-2010	Dec-2009
FFO Interest Cover	4.7x	5.3x	4.2x
Net Debt / Fixed Assets	41.3%	41.4%	53.7%
FFO / Net Debt	23.5%	24.7%	12.9%
RCF / CAPEX	0.6x	3.6x	-0.2x

[1] All ratios are calculated using Moody's Standard Adjustments. Source: Moody's Financial Metrics TM

Note: For definitions of Moody's most common ratio terms please see the accompanying [User's Guide](#).

Opinion

Corporate Profile

Elering AS is the owner and operator of Estonia's 5,252 km high-voltage electricity transmission system network. Its activities as a transmission system operator are regulated by the Estonian Competition Authority. In 2011, Elering reported revenues of EUR 94 million.

The company was established in 2004 as a private limited company and a wholly owned subsidiary of the state-owned vertically integrated utility Eesti Energia AS. Following the decision to separate the assets and operations of the transmission system from Eesti Energia to ensure complete independence of the transmission network operator from electricity production and sales activities, the Government of Estonia became Elering's sole shareholder in January 2010.

Rating Rationale

Elering's A3 rating is underpinned by the low business risk profile of its electricity transmission network operations in

Estonia, given that they are carried out under a transparent, albeit relatively new, regulatory framework. The rating is, however, constrained by the company's expected large capital investment programme, which will gradually increase its financial leverage from initially moderate levels. Moody's rating of Elering also reflects the small size of the company, which leaves it more exposed to cost shocks, cost overruns on individual projects or other negative events that may affect a larger portion of assets, revenues or costs.

Elering's rating incorporates two notches of uplift for potential support from its owner, the Government of Estonia (A1, stable outlook).

DETAILED RATING CONSIDERATIONS

When assessing Elering, Moody's applies its Rating Methodology for Regulated Electric and Gas Networks, published in August 2009, which identifies key areas of focus for assessing the relative fundamental credit quality of regulated electric and gas network companies. The methodology focuses on the assessment of the regulatory environment and ownership model, efficiency and execution risk, stability of business model and financial structure and key credit metrics. Based on historical financial performance, it indicates a baseline credit assessment (BCA) of 7 (equivalent to A3). This compares with a BCA of 9 (equivalent to Baa2) assigned to the company. Moody's expects that the difference between the methodology grid outcome and the actual BCA will narrow in the future, reflecting the expected deterioration in Elering's strong debt protection metrics as the company completes its investment programme.

We consider the following factors under the rating methodology:

FACTOR 1: REGULATORY ENVIRONMENT AND ASSET OWNERSHIP MODEL

(a) Stability and Predictability of Regulatory Regime

The assessment of the regulatory and political framework in which Elering operates plays a key part in determining the company's overall credit quality. The electricity transmission operations in Estonia are regulated by the Estonian Competition Authority (ECA). The ECA is also responsible for competition supervision, fuel and energy, and electronic and postal communications.

The transmission system operations have been regulated in the current form since 2004. The 3-yearly regulatory settlements define the company's revenue entitlement based on a formula aimed to cover operating costs and an assumption of a reasonable return on its regulated assets, which incorporates the investments made. The current regulatory period runs from 1 January 2011 until 31 December 2013 and allows for a nominal, pre-tax return of 7.78% in 2012 and 7.81% in 2013.

We consider the regulatory framework to be transparent, with all the major methodologies publicly available and well defined by a regulatory office that appears to operate independently from political interference.

Overall, we consider the current regulatory framework as supportive for the stability of Elering's credit profile, although still relatively untested and thus we assign a score of A to Elering under this methodology sub-factor.

(b) Asset Ownership Model

With regard to the asset ownership model, we score Elering in the Aa category under this sub-factor, recognising the fact that the company owns the transmission grid assets but operates under a license granted by the ECA.

(c) Cost and Investment Recovery

Under the Estonian regulatory framework, Elering is allowed to cover its costs and realise a reasonable return based on the weighted average cost of capital (WACC) applied on the company's regulated asset base (RAB), with annual tariff increases implemented by the company.

The regulatory formula provides for a CPI-X allowance in the case of operating and maintenance costs. The efficiency X factor is set for a 3-year regulatory period and currently amounts to 1.5%. In the case of capex, new investments as agreed at the regulatory review are fully reflected in the company's RAB.

Elering's positioning in the A category under this sub-factor recognises the current transparent tariff setting mechanism, enabling timely cost and investment recovery, subject to certain efficiency targets in terms of its

operating and maintenance costs.

(d) Revenue Risk

Under the current regulatory framework, each year the next year's tariff applicable from 1 January is calculated based on the last known 12-month actual volumes at the time the tariff application is submitted, i.e. typically this would be a September - August period. This means that if the following year actual transmission volumes are higher, the company will be able to keep the realised profit. In the opposite case, Elering will incur lower income and will not be compensated.

We score Elering in the A category under a revenue risk sub-factor in light of the company's limited exposure to volume risk associated with the current regulatory regime. Under the current system, should the actual volumes in the previous year be lower or higher than expected, tariffs are amended in the subsequent year.

FACTOR 2: EFFICIENCY AND EXECUTION RISK

(a) Cost Efficiency

The Estonian transmission electricity system has been built as part of the northwestern common power system of the former Soviet Union and thus the average age of the transmission assets is 25-30 years. It is connected with Latvia and Russia, as well as with Finland through a submarine cable, Estlink 1 (350 MW).

Over the two regulatory periods, Elering delivered around 4% savings in its operating expenses compared with the regulatory determination. Significant savings were achieved in 2008-10 through a combination of higher productivity and lower costs of procurement in the midst of the recession in Estonia.

We assign a score of Baa under this sub-factor, recognising that Elering's performance was generally in line with regulatory assumptions, although the company may need some time to establish a performance track record given the short history of the company's operations under the existing regulatory regime.

(b) Scale and Complexity of Capital Programme

We use the ratio of capex to property, plant & equipment (PP&E) to measure the risk associated with an investment programme. Elering's capital spend in relation to RAB or fixed assets was at around 17% in 2011.

For the current regulatory period, the ECA has approved capital investments totalling EUR 350 million. Main projects include grid reinforcements, international interconnections (investment into the second undersea cable between Estonia and Finland - Estlink 2, and purchase of the cable of Estlink 1) and construction of emergency reserve power plant (ERPP). The construction of ERPP started in 2012. The 250 MW plant will be built in two stages, with the first unit of 110 MW scheduled to be operational in spring of 2013 and the second in autumn of 2014. The plant will not be used for daily production of electricity but will serve as a back-up facility run on light fuel oil and natural gas.

We note that apart from the regular investments to replace the aging transmission equipment and develop the grid so that it meets the needs of the growing domestic consumption that have been set by the ECA at EUR 29.8 million per annum, the company needs to make some extraordinary investments as set out under the Electrical Energy Sector Development Plan of Estonia until 2018, as approved by the Government of Estonia on 26 February 2009.

Overall, we score Elering at B under this sub-factor given a significant ratio of investments compared with the actual asset base.

FACTOR 3: STABILITY OF BUSINESS MODEL AND FINANCIAL STRUCTURE

(a) Ability and Willingness to Pursue Opportunistic Corporate Activity

Elering does not have any history of corporate activity given its short track record as a standalone company, which supports the company's positioning in the A category. In accordance with the Electricity Market Act 2003 (EMA), the company is prohibited from carrying on any business other than provision of network services and sale of balancing energy, as well as administration of the fund for support of producers using renewable energy sources. According to the most recent amendments to the EMA approved by the Parliament in June 2012, a transmission system operator may generate electricity in reserve power plants.

(b) Ability and Willingness to Increase Leverage

Elering exhibits a relatively strong financial profile, which will, however, deteriorate as a significant investment programme is executed. Clearly, this will affect the company's financial metrics over the medium term. However, Moody's understands that Elering's owner intends to employ a prudent approach to its dividend policy and align cash flow streams to shareholder with the needs of an extensive capital programme. The current policy states that no dividend payments will be made until 2014.

The existing debt documentation includes certain covenants, which will limit company's indebtedness.

Moody's notes, however, that in light of a significant investment programme the current capital structure of the company is going to change. Whilst we believe that the company will not compromise its minimum financial ratios required under the terms of the financing, the leverage is expected to increase over the medium term. Therefore, we assign a Baa score under this sub-factor.

(c) Targeted Proportion of Operating Profit Outside of the Core Regulated Business

Elering is subject to statutory and regulatory restrictions prohibiting investments outside the core electricity transmission business. Currently, the company retains very limited unregulated operations, which are a pass through. This business is somewhat complementary to the core regulated electricity transmission activity, which generates virtually all Elering's operating profit, thus supporting the positioning in the Aa rating category for this sub-factor.

FACTOR 4: KEY CREDIT METRICS

To assess the financial risk profile of a regulated electricity network company, Moody's uses four key credit metrics. The key focus remains on two ratios, namely net debt to RAB (or fixed assets) and the funds from operations (FFO) interest cover, which is adjusted for regulatory depreciation where appropriate. We also consider FFO/net debt and retained cash flow (RCF)/capex ratios, but these are assigned a lower weighting under the rating methodology.

Elering's 2011 financial profile was strong. Revenues reached EUR 94 million, of which EUR 77 million were from sale of network services to power plants, distribution network operators and large customers. The main driver for an increase in sales was a correction of network fees, which were increased to reflect the investments in the regulated assets. Whilst Estonian economy grew by 7.6% in 2011, electricity consumption fell by 2%. The main reasons were, however, not related to the macroeconomic environment, as the fall in consumption was primarily driven by exceptionally warm weather in winter.

2011 was the first year of an intensive investment period as noted above. While historical capex amounted to around EUR 30 million per year, Elering's investments in 2011 reached EUR 78 million and are forecast to increase to an average EUR 91 million over the next 5-year period. Main investments will be aimed at reinforcing of the grid network, increasing reserve power capacity and participating in funding of the Estlink 2 cable between Estonia and Finland. Whilst the agreed tariff increases will somewhat mitigate significant spending, the debt requirements associated with Elering's investment plan will weigh on the company's debt protection metrics.

In order to maintain the current rating, Moody's expects Elering to exhibit minimum financial parameters - namely, FFO interest cover of 3.5x and FFO/net debt in the mid teens - on a sustainable basis.

OTHER GRI CONSIDERATIONS

As a 100% state-owned company, Elering is subject to special governance rules stipulated in the State Assets Act based on which shareholder rights are conferred to the Ministry of Economic Affairs and Communications (MEAC).

Although the Government of Estonia does not provide any explicit guarantees, Elering's operations are considered of vital importance to the economy of Estonia. The shareholder has provided support to Elering in the form of two comfort letters issued to the creditors of long-term loans and increased the company's share capital by EUR 5.8 million in 2010 and further EUR 9.9 million in September 2011.

Moody's considers there to be a high probability of support for Elering in the event of extraordinary need, reflecting the company's strategic importance for the Estonian economy and status of vital services provider. We understand that there are currently no privatisation plans and the Government of Estonia will remain the sole shareholder of Elering. The dividend policy was approved by the Supervisory Board on 15 December 2010, with a view to

strengthening the company's financial profile in light of its significant investment programme. The current dividend policy assumes that no dividends will be paid in the years 2011-14. Thereafter, dividend amounts will be determined by the level of financial covenants and predetermined safety margins as decided by the Supervisory Board.

The very high level of dependence reflects the high correlation between economic development in Estonia and Elering's performance as the company derives almost all its revenue from domestic activities.

Liquidity

Elering exhibits a solid liquidity profile underpinned by the relatively stable cash flows generated from its regulated transmission network activities and further supported by the available amounts of cash and committed facilities. As of 30 June 2012, the company had EUR 7.6 million of cash, EUR 35 million in bank deposits and EUR 20 million of undrawn overdraft. The current maturity of this overdraft is July 2013. Elering further benefits from committed lines from European Investment Bank (EIB) and Nordic Investment Bank (NIB) in the total amount of EUR 100 million (currently undrawn), as well as EU grants, which will be used to finance the construction of Estlink 2 cable.

In April 2012, Elering signed a new EUR 15 million bank loan agreement with NIB. The purpose of the loan is financing of the construction of a 330 kV transmission line. The repayment period starts in 2017 and final maturity of the loan is in 2032.

Elering's outstanding debt is related to the EUR 225 million, 4.625% notes due in 2018. The company expects to draw on its bank facilities as the investment programme is executed. Elering has, thus, no debt maturities in the near term.

Moody's notes that EIB and NIB facilities include financial covenants: equity/assets > 30% and net debt/EBITDA < 7.0x until 2015 and 6.0x thereafter. The company shows adequate headroom under its financial covenants (net debt/EBITDA was 4.0x and equity/assets was 39% as at 31 December 2011).

Rating Outlook

The rating has a stable outlook, reflecting Moody's expectation that Elering will maintain debt protection metrics above the minimum ratio guidance set for the current rating - namely, FFO interest cover of at least 3.5x and FFO/net debt in the mid teens in percentage terms.

What Could Change the Rating - Up

Given the significant investment programme and the associated funding requirements in the future, Moody's sees limited potential for a rating upgrade over the medium term. Before considering any upward move, Moody's would require evidence that Elering's credit metrics were improving on a sustainable basis, with FFO interest cover above 4.5x and FFO/net debt ratio in the twenties in percentage terms.

What Could Change the Rating - Down

The rating could come under downward pressure if Elering's FFO interest cover were to fall below 3.5x or the FFO/net debt ratio were to decline to low teens for a sustained period of time due to, for example, operational underperformance. Downward pressure could also arise as a result of: (i) a deterioration in the credit quality of the Government of Estonia; (ii) a reduction of the support assumptions currently incorporated into Moody's assessment; or (iii) a materially unfavourable change in the regulatory framework leading to a significant increase in the company's business risk.

Rating Factors

Elering AS

Regulated Electric and Gas Networks [1][2]	Aaa	Aa	A	Baa	Ba	B
Factor 1: Regulatory Environment & Asset Ownership Model (40%)						
a) Stability and Predictability of Regulatory Regime			X			
b) Asset Ownership Model		X				

c) Cost and Investment Recovery			X			
d) Revenue Risk			X			
Factor 2: Efficiency & Execution Risk (10%)						
a) Cost Efficiency				X		
b) Scale and Complexity of Capital Programme						X
Factor 3: Stability of Business Model & Financial Structure (10%)						
a) Ability and Willingness to Pursue Opportunistic Corporate Activity			X			
b) Ability and Willingness to Increase Leverage				X		
c) Targeted Proportion of Operating Profit Outside Core Regulated Activities		X				
Factor 4: Key Credit Metrics (40%)						
a) FFO Interest Cover (3 Year Avg)			X			
b) Net Debt / Fixed Assets (3 Year Avg)			X			
c) FFO / Net Debt (3 Year Avg)			X			
d) RCF / CAPEX (3 Year Avg)					X	
Rating:						
a) Indicated Rating from Grid			7 (A3)			
b) Actual BCA Assigned				9 (Baa2)		

Government-Related Issuer	Factor
a) Baseline Credit Assessment	9 (Baa2)
b) Government Local Currency Rating	A1
c) Default Dependence	Very High
d) Support	High

[1] All ratios are calculated using Moody's Standard Adjustments. [2] As of 12/31/2011



© 2012 Moody's Investors Service, Inc. and/or its licensors and affiliates (collectively, "MOODY'S"). All rights reserved.

CREDIT RATINGS ISSUED BY MOODY'S INVESTORS SERVICE, INC. ("MIS") AND ITS AFFILIATES ARE MOODY'S CURRENT OPINIONS OF THE RELATIVE FUTURE CREDIT RISK OF ENTITIES, CREDIT COMMITMENTS, OR DEBT OR DEBT-LIKE SECURITIES, AND CREDIT RATINGS AND RESEARCH PUBLICATIONS PUBLISHED BY MOODY'S ("MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS") MAY INCLUDE MOODY'S CURRENT OPINIONS OF THE RELATIVE FUTURE CREDIT RISK OF ENTITIES, CREDIT COMMITMENTS, OR DEBT OR DEBT-LIKE SECURITIES. MOODY'S DEFINES CREDIT RISK AS THE RISK THAT AN ENTITY MAY NOT MEET ITS CONTRACTUAL, FINANCIAL OBLIGATIONS AS THEY COME DUE AND ANY ESTIMATED FINANCIAL LOSS IN THE EVENT OF DEFAULT. CREDIT RATINGS DO NOT ADDRESS ANY OTHER RISK, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO: LIQUIDITY RISK, MARKET VALUE RISK, OR PRICE VOLATILITY. CREDIT RATINGS AND MOODY'S OPINIONS INCLUDED IN MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS ARE NOT STATEMENTS OF CURRENT OR HISTORICAL FACT. CREDIT RATINGS AND MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS DO NOT CONSTITUTE OR PROVIDE INVESTMENT OR FINANCIAL ADVICE, AND CREDIT RATINGS AND MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS ARE NOT AND DO NOT PROVIDE RECOMMENDATIONS TO PURCHASE, SELL, OR HOLD PARTICULAR SECURITIES. NEITHER CREDIT RATINGS NOR

MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS COMMENT ON THE SUITABILITY OF AN INVESTMENT FOR ANY PARTICULAR INVESTOR. MOODY'S ISSUES ITS CREDIT RATINGS AND PUBLISHES MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS WITH THE EXPECTATION AND UNDERSTANDING THAT EACH INVESTOR WILL MAKE ITS OWN STUDY AND EVALUATION OF EACH SECURITY THAT IS UNDER CONSIDERATION FOR PURCHASE, HOLDING, OR SALE.

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED HEREIN IS PROTECTED BY LAW, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO, COPYRIGHT LAW, AND NONE OF SUCH INFORMATION MAY BE COPIED OR OTHERWISE REPRODUCED, REPACKAGED, FURTHER TRANSMITTED, TRANSFERRED, DISSEMINATED, REDISTRIBUTED OR RESOLD, OR STORED FOR SUBSEQUENT USE FOR ANY SUCH PURPOSE, IN WHOLE OR IN PART, IN ANY FORM OR MANNER OR BY ANY MEANS WHATSOEVER, BY ANY PERSON WITHOUT MOODY'S PRIOR WRITTEN CONSENT. All information contained herein is obtained by MOODY'S from sources believed by it to be accurate and reliable. Because of the possibility of human or mechanical error as well as other factors, however, all information contained herein is provided "AS IS" without warranty of any kind. MOODY'S adopts all necessary measures so that the information it uses in assigning a credit rating is of sufficient quality and from sources Moody's considers to be reliable, including, when appropriate, independent third-party sources. However, MOODY'S is not an auditor and cannot in every instance independently verify or validate information received in the rating process. Under no circumstances shall MOODY'S have any liability to any person or entity for (a) any loss or damage in whole or in part caused by, resulting from, or relating to, any error (negligent or otherwise) or other circumstance or contingency within or outside the control of MOODY'S or any of its directors, officers, employees or agents in connection with the procurement, collection, compilation, analysis, interpretation, communication, publication or delivery of any such information, or (b) any direct, indirect, special, consequential, compensatory or incidental damages whatsoever (including without limitation, lost profits), even if MOODY'S is advised in advance of the possibility of such damages, resulting from the use of or inability to use, any such information. The ratings, financial reporting analysis, projections, and other observations, if any, constituting part of the information contained herein are, and must be construed solely as, statements of opinion and not statements of fact or recommendations to purchase, sell or hold any securities. Each user of the information contained herein must make its own study and evaluation of each security it may consider purchasing, holding or selling. NO WARRANTY, EXPRESS OR IMPLIED, AS TO THE ACCURACY, TIMELINESS, COMPLETENESS, MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR ANY PARTICULAR PURPOSE OF ANY SUCH RATING OR OTHER OPINION OR INFORMATION IS GIVEN OR MADE BY MOODY'S IN ANY FORM OR MANNER WHATSOEVER.

MIS, a wholly-owned credit rating agency subsidiary of Moody's Corporation ("MCO"), hereby discloses that most issuers of debt securities (including corporate and municipal bonds, debentures, notes and commercial paper) and preferred stock rated by MIS have, prior to assignment of any rating, agreed to pay to MIS for appraisal and rating services rendered by it fees ranging from \$1,500 to approximately \$2,500,000. MCO and MIS also maintain policies and procedures to address the independence of MIS's ratings and rating processes. Information regarding certain affiliations that may exist between directors of MCO and rated entities, and between entities who hold ratings from MIS and have also publicly reported to the SEC an ownership interest in MCO of more than 5%, is posted annually at www.moodys.com under the heading "Shareholder Relations — Corporate Governance — Director and Shareholder Affiliation Policy."

Any publication into Australia of this document is by MOODY'S affiliate, Moody's Investors Service Pty Limited ABN 61 003 399 657, which holds Australian Financial Services License no. 336969. This document is intended to be provided only to "wholesale clients" within the meaning of section 761G of the Corporations Act 2001. By continuing to access this document from within Australia, you represent to MOODY'S that you are, or are accessing the document as a representative of, a

"wholesale client" and that neither you nor the entity you represent will directly or indirectly disseminate this document or its contents to "retail clients" within the meaning of section 761G of the Corporations Act 2001.

Notwithstanding the foregoing, credit ratings assigned on and after October 1, 2010 by Moody's Japan K.K. ("MJKK") are MJKK's current opinions of the relative future credit risk of entities, credit commitments, or debt or debt-like securities. In such a case, "MIS" in the foregoing statements shall be deemed to be replaced with "MJKK". MJKK is a wholly-owned credit rating agency subsidiary of Moody's Group Japan G.K., which is wholly owned by Moody's Overseas Holdings Inc., a wholly-owned subsidiary of MCO.

This credit rating is an opinion as to the creditworthiness of a debt obligation of the issuer, not on the equity securities of the issuer or any form of security that is available to retail investors. It would be dangerous for retail investors to make any investment decision based on this credit rating. If in doubt you should contact your financial or other professional adviser.